EXHIBIT D

Case 19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4041-4 Filed 03/18/24 Entered 03/18/24 17:04:54 Desc Exhibit D Page 2 of 6

1 2	DALLAS DIVISION		
3) Case No. 19-34054-sq	-j-11	
4 5 6 7 8	MANAGEMENT, L.P., 5 MANAGEMENT, L.P., 9:30 a.m. Docket Reorganized Debtor. 0 HIGHLAND'S MOTION BAD FAITH FINDING HIGHLAND'S MOTION CONTESTED MATTER [[3851] TO STAY	
9	9 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN	,	
10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.		
11	1 APPEARANCES:		
12 13	Debtor: PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & 780 Third Avenue, 34th F New York, NY 10017-2024	loor	
14			
15 16	Partners, LLC: Jr.	ameros,	
17	6116 N. Central Expressw	ay,	
18	(214) 765 6002		
19	Investment Trust, The Michael P. Aigen	ez	
20	2200 Ross Avenue, Suite	2900	
21	Dallas, TX 75201 (214) 560-2201		
22	Recorded by: Michael F. Edmond, Sr.	COURT	
23	1100 Commerce Street, 12		
24	Dallas, TX 75242 (214) 753-2062		
25	5		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

'but for' test in Lopez and the cases that it cites.

So, our conclusion, Your Honor. First, the reply doesn't change anything. They don't give you any new authority or any basis to award sanctions or bad faith analysis, if for no other reason than the record is already closed. You've seen this all before. And when asked repeatedly for a bad faith finding, you didn't give it to them. No bad faith in the filing of the claim.

The requested fees are reasonable and necessary. Your Honor, so they flunk the Johnson factors. They fail the 'but for' test.

Respectfully, Your Honor, their motion should be denied. If it's not going to be denied, we would like an opportunity to file supplemental briefing addressing the new authorities in the reply brief. Your Honor, I don't think we need to go there. I think you should deny it outright.

Subject to questions from the Court, that concludes my presentation.

THE COURT: All right. A few follow-up questions. In arguing about the size of the potential fees if I get to bad faith, you've had a little bit of a theme of: It was just a proof of claim, it was not difficult, and this was not some "slapdash proof of claim." So you emphasize not reasonable fees for addressing the proof of claim, and you also stress can't find any authority where attorneys' fees have been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Morris arqued. I remember very well the evidence was that Highland put in \$49,000 to get its membership interest in SE Multifamily Holdings, but I already heard that it was required ultimately to be a cosigner on a \$500 million loan from Key Bank. It provided resources, at least until some point during the bankruptcy, to SE Multifamily. And again, the tax benefit of absorbing the income from the entity, which, again, it's nothing to sneeze at here.

All of that I think was addressed pretty thoroughly in my earlier opinion, but again, I'm going to go back and look at it and the evidence and give you a thorough ruling one way or another on the indicia of bad faith as well as the reasonableness of fee-shifting.

All right. It sounds like I'm going to see you on February 14th, or some of you, and so I shall see you then. We're adjourned.

THE CLERK: All rise.

MR. GAMEROS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. GAMEROS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GAMEROS: Yeah, I'm sorry. I did ask, if you weren't going to deny it outright, if I could file a brief surreply. Is that allowed?

THE COURT: No. I've got enough on briefing on this.

Case	19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4041-4 Filed 03/18/24 Entered 03/18/24 17:04:54 Exhibit D Page 5 of 6	Desc
		82
1		
1	Thank you.	
2	MR. GAMEROS: All right. Thank you.	
3	(Proceedings concluded at 11:41 a.m.)	
4	000	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	CERTIFICATE	
21	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the	from
22	above-entitled matter.	
23	/s/ Kathy Rehling 01/24/2024	
24	Kathy Rehling, CETD-444 Date	
25	Certified Electronic Court Transcriber	

Case	19-34054-sgj11 Doc 4041-4 Filed 03/18/24 Entered 03/18/24 17:04:54 Exhibit D Page 6 of 6	Desc
		83
1	INDEX	
2	PROCEEDINGS	3
3	WITNESSES	
4	-none-	
5	EXHIBITS	
6	-none-	
7	RULINGS	
8	Highland's Motion to Stay Contested Matter (4013) - Granted	36
9		
10	Highland's Motion for (A) Bad Faith Finding and (B) Attorneys' Fees Against NexPoint Real Estate Partners,	78
11	LLC (3851) - Taken Under Advisement	
12	END OF PROCEEDINGS	82
13	INDEX	83
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		